

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,355		06/26/2001	Eric L. Christiansen	MSC-23314-1	2179
24957	7590	04/01/2004		EXAMINER	
NASA JOH	INSON S	SPACE CENTER		LOFDAHL,	IORDAN M
MAIL COD				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2.0	HOUSTON, TX 77058			3644	
				DATE MAILED: 04/01/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	o. Applicant(s)				
		09/892,355	CHRISTIANSEN ET AL.				
Office Action S	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jordan Lofdahl	3644				
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE MAILING DATE OF The Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail for the period for reply specified above if NO period for reply is specified above Failure to reply within the set or exte	HIS COMMUNICATION. under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ing date of this communication. is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ove, the maximum statutory period w nded period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTHOUSE. (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirm within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed.	nety filed vs will be considered timety. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to comm	unication(s) filed on 17 Fe	ebruary 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	· · · <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application	,—						
Disposition of Claims							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-34</u> is/are 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22 and 35</u> 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39 and 40</u> is	n(s) is/are withdrav allowed. :38 is/are rejected.	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is ob	jected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not reque	est that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
•	` '	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob aminer. Note the attached Office	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is m a) All b) Some * c 1. Certified copies 2. Certified copies 3. Copies of the c application from	ade of a claim for foreign None of: of the priority documents of the priority documents ertified copies of the prior the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receive	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		_					
Notice of References Cited (PTC 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent I Information Disclosure Statemen Paper No(s)/Mail Date 			Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/17/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 2, 7-11, 13, 14, 16-22 and 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dvorak (6298765).

As to claim 1, Dvorak discloses a device comprising a plurality of shield layers (36) which are capable of being flexible, a support layer (38) capable of being resilient; a protective cover (20), and fasteners (40).

As to claim 2, disclosed is the support layer being open cell foam.

As to claim 7-9, disclosed are the fasteners comprised of Velcro or snap or straps (col. 5, lines 3-7).

As to claims 10 and 11, disclosed is the flexible shield comprised of ceramic fabric or highstrength fabric (col. 3, lines 44-49). Application/Control Number: 09/892,355

Art Unit: 3644

Page 3

As to claim 13, disclosed is the cover made of an abrasion resistant material and provide thermal

protection (col. 4, lines 14-31).

As to claim 14, disclosed is a vented cover (22).

As to claim 16, the cover is optically reflective.

As to claim 17, disclosed is a device comprising a means for shocking particles (36); means for

supporting the shocking means in a resilient manner (38); means for enclosing the shocking

means in a cover layer (20) and a means for securing the shocking means to a structure (40).

As to claim 18, disclosed is a means for reducing a size and volume occupied by the protection

system. The device is capable of compressing (col. 3, lines 61-64).

As to claim 19, disclosed is a means for deploying the shocking means on a structure.

As to claim 20, disclosed is a means to thermally insulate the shocking means.

As to claim 21, disclosed is a means for venting gas particles (22).

As to claim 22, disclosed is a means for containing debris (col. 4, lines 37-48).

Application/Control Number: 09/892,355

Art Unit: 3644

As to claim 35, the cover is optically absorptive.

As to claim 36, disclosed is a device comprising a plurality of holes (22) in a peripheral side wall

Page 4

capable of venting gases. The device can be in used in numerous orientations and the holes in

fig. 1 are read being in a peripheral side wall.

As to claim 37, disclosed is a back wall layer (25) between the plurality of flexible shields and

the protective cover.

As to claim 38, Dvorak discloses a device comprising a plurality of shield layers (36) having a

thickness that is determined based on the size of a particle to be shocked (it is inherent in the art

of shielding that the thickness of the shielding material is based on the projectile it is designed

shield).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

in a prior Office action.

Claims 3-6, 12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Dvorak (6298765).

Art Unit: 3644

As to claims 3 and 4, not disclosed is the support layer being closed-cell foam with a low pressure gas. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to comprise the support layer of closed-cell foam with a low pressure gas, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

As to claim 5, not disclosed is the support layer being a ceramic foam. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to comprise the support layer of a ceramic foam, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

As to claim 6, not disclosed is the support layer having one or more portions removed therefrom. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to remove portions of the support layer, since it has been held that omission of an element and its function in combination where the remaining elements perform the same function as before involves only routine skill in the art.

As to claim 12, not disclosed is the particle shield comprising at least one thermal insulation layer. Since the material is "space-rated". It is inherent that the shield is thermally insulated. Art Unit: 3644

As to claim 15, not disclosed is the cover being flame retardant. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to comprise the cover of flame retardant material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 27-34 are allowed.

Claims 39 and 40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art of Dvorak is good for what it discloses whether it works or not. Dvorak explicitly shows the structure of the instant claims. As stated earlier, it would have been obvious to change the size of a shield depending on what size particle which is impacting the shield.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after Art Unit: 3644

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jordan Lofdahl whose telephone number is 703.605.1217. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-3.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Jordan can be reached on 703.306.4159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Jordan Lofdahl Examiner Art Unit 3644

jml